



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

tuous alteration in length by turgor changes; but he thinks this explanation precluded by the different behavior of the plants with sugar solutions under aerobic and anaerobic conditions respectively. Because of the great increase in growth late in the culture period, he also rejects the possibility of anaerobic growth being due to residual oxygen, even though there must be some not removable by his methods. Taking everything into consideration, NABOKICH believes that aerobic and anaerobic growth have no necessary connection, being called forth by different factors.

A reading of this paper leaves one unconvinced that the author has established his point, in spite of the apparently prodigious labor the prolonged and very difficult research has involved, with results of minimal consequence. It seems another case of the mountains in labor.—C. R. B.

Hybridization.—GIGLIO-TOS³² publishes an attempt to reach theoretical explanation of the varied phenomena of hybridization. His views are based on his theory of "biomolecular addition" which may be briefly stated. He supposes that a fertilized egg, A , consists of a series of molecules a, b, c, d, e, \dots , and that after a series of chemical transformations owing to assimilation they arrive finally at a chemical constitution m, n, o, p, q, \dots , after which each divides into $2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, \dots$, bringing a return to the original condition. When this occurs, "regeneration of the germ" would be complete. In sexual reproduction if δA and $B\gamma$ represent the biomolecules of the two germ cells, the organism developed from the fertilized egg, $\delta AB\gamma$, might have the capacity of regenerating the whole "biomolecule" which formed it, in which case we would have parthenogenesis; or if complete regeneration is not possible we will have sexual reproduction, each of the germ cells regenerating a part. The case in plants, in which both sexes are usually present in the same individual, is not specifically considered.

From this point of view the writer interprets sexuality, synapsis and reduction, fertilization and hybridization. It is further supposed that the zygote AB , while retaining an equal number of male and female biomolecules, yet in the ontogeny undergoes certain modifications, so that the resulting germ cells will be δM and $N\gamma$; and that the constitution of these is such that they can be added to each other ("biomolecular addition") to produce again $\delta AB\gamma$.

On this basis the results of crossing are considered from an a-priori point of view and a number of "laws" are enunciated. Explanations of Mendelian segregation, blending, and other phenomena of hybridization are offered, and predictions made as to the results of cross-breeding reciprocal hybrids. The formal character of the hypothesis makes it probable that it will fail to conform to many of the facts of hybridism, but its viewpoint is very suggestive. For the details of its application see the original paper.—R. R. GATES.

³² GIGLIO-TOS, ERMANNO, L'eredità e le leggi razionali dell' ibridismo. Biologica 2: no. 10. pp. 36. 1908.